



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,214	03/31/2004	Matthew Paul Duggan	AUS920040011US1	9514
34533	7590	05/04/2007	EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL CORP (BLF)			KHATRI, ANIL	
c/o BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP			ART UNIT	
P.O. BOX 1469			PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78767-1469			2191	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/04/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,214	DUGGAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Anil Khatri	2191	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: “*Controlling a GUI Display for Plug-In Software Development for GUI Functions*”.

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See page 10, lines 29-30. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because they disclose a claimed invention that is an abstract idea as defined in the case *In re Warmerdam*, 33, F 3d 1354, 31 USPQ 2d 1754 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Analysis: Claims 15-21 disclosed by the applicant as being a “a computer program product, a recording medium...”. Since the claims are each a series of steps to be performed on a computer the processes must be analyzed to determine whether they are statutory under 35 USC 101.

Examiner interprets that claim 15-21 are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of Applicant's disclosure, specification page 4 lines 17-28 the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., [computer readable medium]) and intangible embodiments (e.g., [transmission media, radio frequency (RF), infrared (IR), a carrier wave, telephone line, a signal, etc.]). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory. To overcome this type of 101 rejection the claims need to be amended to include only the physical computer media and not a transmission media or other intangible or non-functional media. For the specification at the bottom, carrier medium and transmission media would be not statutory but storage media would be statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Barker et al* USPN 7,191,404.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 15

Barker et al teaches,

receiving, at run time, in the application from the plug-in a request to display a GUI object, wherein the application has standards of appearance for the GUI display (figures 1-3, column 5, lines 15-31, Executing the setup program included with the product allows the customer to select from one or more available consoles (step 170). The number of consoles the customer selects depends upon (i) the number of consoles for which the software manufacturer enabled the product to interface (defined by product properties 110 and console plug-in data 120), and (ii) the number of consoles that the customer uses or plans to use. For example, if the software manufacturer enabled the product to be used with four consoles, those four consoles would be selectable by the customer. If the customer has a particular console of choice, such as the Tivoli console, then he selects his preferred console and does not install plug-in files associated with the other consoles. The selected console plug-in(s) are installed on the customer's computer system (step 180, see FIG. 6 for further customer installation details). The installed plug-in components are registered with the applicable consoles (step 190) so that the consoles recognize the installed plug-in components and the installed product when used by the customer. responsive to the request, retrieving an XML representation of the GUI object that complies with the application's standards of appearance for the GUI display (column 5, lines 38-47, Management definition object 220, such as a MOF, is read and evaluated (step 215) to determine the panels, plug-in code, and NLS data needed to process. A graphical user

interface is generated to support the model (predefined process 225, see FIGS. 8 and 9 for further processing details). The generated user interface panels are stored in panel files data store 230. In one embodiment, the panel files are created as Java and/or Extensible Markup Language (XML) files capable of being rendered with browser software such as Microsoft Internet Explorer ; and displaying the GUI object in dependence upon the retrieved XML representation of the GUI object (figure 4, column 7, lines 53-65, In addition, object properties also include qualifiers that are used to group one or more data elements. Other object properties may specify valid data types and values that correspond with one or more data elements, as well as list items that are used to allow the user to select from a list of valid values. These qualifiers, data element names, and data element attributes are used to display GUI panels from a management console allowing a user to view and manipulate values associated with the product (see FIG. 9 for an example GUI panel). Examples of properties include caption, description, node name, states (e.g., started, stopped), modes (e.g., Start Mode), and the names of parameters to a particular method. Property names are usually designated by designers of the CIM model, rather than being fixed or predetermined).

Regarding claims 2, 9 and 16

Barker et al teaches, installing the plug-in in the application, including configuring the application with the location of at least one XML representation of at least one GUI object (figures 6-7, column 5, lines 17-32, The number of consoles the customer selects depends upon (i) the number of consoles for

which the software manufacturer enabled the product to interface (defined by product properties 110 and console plug-in data 120), and (ii) the number of consoles that the customer uses or plans to use. For example, if the software manufacturer enabled the product to be used with four consoles, those four consoles would be selectable by the customer. If the customer has a particular console of choice, such as the Tivoli console, then he selects his preferred console and does not install plug-in files associated with the other consoles. The selected console plug-in(s) are installed on the customer's computer system (step 180, see FIG. 6 for further customer installation details). The installed plug-in components are registered with the applicable consoles (step 190) so that the consoles recognize the installed plug-in components and the installed product when used by the customer).

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 17

Barker et al teaches,

the application for the plug-in access to a subset of a set of GUI objects supported by a GUI environment (figure 3, column 6, lines 25-40, Processing commences at 300 whereupon common code usable with all consoles is read (step 305) from common console code data store 310. The mapping model in the management definition object (MOF) is transformed in order to generate GUI panels 330 and Editable NLS Files 340 in predefined process 325. Editable NLS Files 340 are generated for translation into one or more languages in order to support users' native languages. Editable NLS files 340 include text needed for display on GUI panels 330, menu items, and nodes on the displayed tree control. In one embodiment, the editable NLS files include translatable strings that are used as input to a translation process whereby a

program and/or a translation specialist translates the translatable strings into the desired languages. GUI panels 330 are panels created with specifications for the layout of the GUI panels).

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18

Barker et al teaches,

providing GUI functions for the plug-in through a GUI API in the application, wherein receiving, at run time, in the application from the plug-in a request for display of a GUI object further comprises receiving a GUI API call from the plug-in (figures 1-4, column 5, lines 15-31, Executing the setup program included with the product allows the customer to select from one or more available consoles (step 170). The number of consoles the customer selects depends upon (i) the number of consoles for which the software manufacturer enabled the product to interface (defined by product properties 110 and console plug-in data 120), and (ii) the number of consoles that the customer uses or plans to use. For example, if the software manufacturer enabled the product to be used with four consoles, those four consoles would be selectable by the customer. If the customer has a particular console of choice, such as the Tivoli console, then he selects his preferred console and does not install plug-in files associated with the other consoles. The selected console plug-in(s) are installed on the customer's computer system (step 180, see FIG. 6 for further customer installation details). The installed plug-in components are registered with the applicable consoles (step 190) so that the consoles recognize the installed plug-in components and the installed product when used by the customer.

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 19

Barker et al teaches,

receiving from the plug-in a request to retrieve user input responsive to the GUI object (figures 2-3, and 7-9, column 9, lines 63-67, “a selection is received...); and
returning to the plug-in responsive user input (column 10, lines 39-56, “the user menu...end at 795).

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 20

Barker et al teaches,

parsing the retrieved representation of the GUI object, wherein displaying the GUI object further comprises displaying the GUI object in dependence upon the parsed representation of the GUI object (columns 6-7, lines 63-67 and 1-15, he management data object 400 can contain extensive information about the management model, however the various types of data are often difficult to ascertain from the raw management data object. In order to process the management data object, transformation engine 410 creates a structured representation of various aspects of the management data object. Transformation engine 410 is a parser that reads MOF file 400 and feeds the data to a Common Information Model Object Manager (CIMOM) that is being used. Generally, the transformation engine and CIMOM are packaged together. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the steps described herein can be performed with any variety of CIMOMs and transformation engines. To ensure compatibility with multiple CIMOMs and transformation engines, standard APIs specified in the CIM specification set forth by the Distributed Management Task Force, Inc. (DTMF) are used. All compliant CIMOMs conform to the standard APIs set forth in the CIM specification).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 21

Barker et al teaches,

publishing XML syntax for representing XML GUI objects operable by a plug-in through the application (column 11, lines 9-14, In one embodiment, the display constructs include graphical user interface (GUI) panels in a generic format, such as using Java or extensible markup language (XML) that can be processed on a variety of computer systems irrespective of the operating environment, or operating system, used by the computer system).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri whose telephone number is 571-272-3725. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



ANIL KHATRI
PRIMARY EXAMINER